

Nonlinear Control Design

Prof. Srikant Sukumar

Systems and Control Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

Week 8 : Lecture 40 : Passivity based control: Part 3

So, passivity by output selection is a possibility as long as your system is stable in the sense of Lyapunov. So, great. So, there is at least some hope. Now, like I said what happens when you do not have you know a stable in the sense of Lyapunov system. Then you go for what is called feedback passivation. You try to use the feedback to get passivity that is the idea.

So, what is the deal? We are now not making any assumptions on this guy. What we are saying is you have this input output system. We are already specifying the output also in this case. The output of course, might come from here the previous method itself.

But now we are no longer saying that there is you know some kind of you know there is any stability in the sense of Lyapunov for this system. Because which is what we assume for the first case. But we assume there exists some you know feedback. So, we are what are we going to do? We are going to sort of plug in a feedback in the system. Now, the question is what is this feedback doing? Of course, we have to ask.

Once we plug this in and again this is this material is sort of taken from Khalil. But there it is a lot of it is stated as theorems and proofs and all that. I am not doing that I am doing it more in a step by step way. So, that we figure out what is happening. So, as usual we assume all the Lipschitz smoothness and all the nice things.

Then what am I doing? What I am doing is I am going I am just proposing some feedback. If you notice this is a pretty general structure for a feedback. It is not there is nothing very specific or special about it. What did I do? I broke the feedback into one term and then another term which contains some additional thing that I can play with. I can sort of prescribe later on.

So, I have taken this control and I have reduced it to this control. So, but as far as we are concerned these are pretty much equal as general as it gets. It is some function of x another function of x multiplied by new control. Now, what is the advantage? Of course, we are as usual assuming some more Lipschitz and smoothness and all that for I mean just to keep things simple let us assume everything is smooth anyway. If you substitute this control back here you are going to get this sort of an expression.

And I have done nothing but substitute the control here. Now, if it so happens that this system is passive in $V y$ then the system is said to be feedback passive. But again looks very

general and looks like it is not going to help us at all. But it is because we just we are just trying to generalize this situation. We are going to use this control somehow to you know sort of give a feedback term right.

This will sort of you know plug in a feedback term and this feedback term is potentially going to make this you know Lipschitz sorry make this stable and then you can sort of choose this y and so on. So, this is one step beyond what we have here that is the idea here that is the idea. And this is called feedback passivation is more like a definition if you may that after plugging in some feedback which is very very general if the system turns out to be passive with this y and with this sort of drift system then and with this new control V not the old control U but the new control V then the system is feedback passive. And to illustrate this we actually look at now one rather serious and useful example. This is the control of robot dynamics in joint space.

I mean you are already you can very quickly get into the controlling a robot. And this is like a manipulator any robotic manipulator will have this sort of a dynamical system. We will have you know couple of minutes trying to understand what the terms are. Anyway some of you might have seen this sort of model is typically arrived at by using Lagrangian yeah those of you who have done any kind of robot modelling will know. So, this sort of model is arrived at using the you know Lagrangian method or Hamiltonian but typically Lagrangian yeah Q is what is called a generalized coordinates.

So, if you have you know a robot you know let me see if you have a robot which is like you know has a revolute joint like this right and then here there is no revolute joint but how do I say? If you look at this picture what do you see? There are three sort of degrees of freedom if you may yeah or three sort of in the sense coordinates not let us call them coordinates. What is this? In order to specify this robot I will need to specify this angle α_1 and this angle α_2 right. So, it is like shoulder this yeah shoulder and this right α_1 and α_2 but here which I cannot do the third thing yeah I am saying that my wrist can sort of move in and out. It is a linear joint linear actuator linear actuator on one of the arms yeah you can see that this is possible I mean you can make it out with this guy. So, this is a robot yeah this is one angle this is the other angle and this thing can move also yeah you can imagine I can make a robot like this I mean for many reasons yeah just for fun if nothing else yeah alright.

Now what is funny or odd about this is that all coordinates are not angles are all or x y 's so they are not in the same type of coordinates if you may yeah they are either angles or positions and so on and so forth yeah but when you do Lagrangian modeling you can actually look at them all together and you call them generalized coordinates yeah they can be positions they can be angles they are all combined in one vector and this is called generalized coordinates that is the reason for naming them generalized coordinates because they can be positions angles and so on and so forth no problem again in the mechanical system context you get an electrical system it can be sorry voltages currents

and different things yeah completely different things different units yeah they are combined in one yeah. So, and \dot{q} is of course, generalized velocities right so in this case it will be $\dot{\alpha}_1$ angular velocity and \dot{x} which is linear velocity yeah so and then you have the system matrices which is the first and the more important most important one is the M of q which is the inertia matrix it is called inertia matrix and not difficult to sort of compute it is sort of actually captures the inertia of the robotic system if it moves around this origin then what is the mass distribution typically this will be a function of the coordinates itself yeah very very in very very unusual circumstances will it be independent of the coordinates themselves yeah mostly it will be a function of the coordinates but it will be symmetric positive definite inertia is always symmetric positive definite matrices ok then you have the C q \dot{q} which are the centrifugal and coriolis forces ok again because it is robot and mechanical system so you know exactly what these are yeah and then there is D which is the viscous damping this is like you know if you have these joints and then there is damping on the joints yeah or there is damping in the linear actuator right then here then there is some viscous damping and that depends that sort of always scales the velocity and then finally you have the g which is the gravity term ok so this is standard notation I don't think even books will change the more if you go from one text to the other I don't think you will they will even change the symbol of the or the letters also thankfully this is one of the more standard notation M will always signify the inertia C will always be the coriolis and the centrifugal and or centripetal whatever then you have the D and the g which is the damping and the gravity and then you have the control finally yeah control of course can be you know you can actually maybe have a motor here here and you can have you know some linear actuator here pneumatic actuator or whatever ok so this is the control ok. So this is the system that we are looking at yeah and one of the things that is known for because we have arrived at this via Lagrangian modeling is that $\dot{M} - 2C$ is a skew symmetric matrix this is known for this robotic type system it will always hold yeah this is nothing very unusual system property and what does it mean for if a matrix is skew symmetric then the corresponding quadratic form is always zero ok this is again a property of skew symmetric matrices ok. So again whenever we deal with practical real systems then we have to identify these properties of the system so typically somebody has been working on these systems for a while they will know these yeah so whoever designs controllers for these systems will always know this there is no two ways about it yeah so $\dot{M} - 2C$ skew symmetric therefore any quadratic form using $\dot{M} - 2C$ turns out to be zero yeah ok. What is the objective? Tracking we have until now not done any tracking problem ok so this is the first tracking problem ok what is the objective track a reference q or r again this is reference and we are doing everything in the joint space by the way yeah in reality you might be you know applied guys might be interested in the position of this guy the world position yeah what is called a end effector position yeah not this x not this x but the world x y z ok you might be interested in applications on that ok but you can always reduce it to some trajectory in α_1 α_2 and x yeah by using the inverse kinematics yeah for any robot this is possible again we have not we are not going into that much detail but the idea is any world space problem can be converted to a joint space problem yeah and so we are doing the control in the joint space only not in the world space yeah.

Now if I want to track a constant reference then I construct an error like this ok and what happens we write the dynamics of the error it comes out to be this guy ok do you believe me that it comes out to be this guy yes what is it go ahead there is a g term there yeah what are you saying ok I made this simplified problem ok I will I have basically chosen a constant reference so q_r is actually a constant so I am actually doing an easy problem here yeah actual trajectory tracking would be it is a time varying trajectory in that case the trajectory also will have to satisfy an equation like this typically anyway we will go there later on not right now yeah not right now right now we are doing an easier problem the reference is a constant my angles are at some constant value my linear position is at a constant value I want to go to I want them to go to another constant value that's it ok now if you look at this again $q - q_r$, q_r is a constant so any derivative so left hand side contains only derivatives right so any derivative $E \ddot{}$ is actually $\ddot{q} - \ddot{q}_r$ $E \dot{}$ is $\dot{q} - \dot{q}_r$ and gq is just gq nothing changes ok just because I chose a constant reference here just to make my discussion in class simple yeah otherwise it will take a long long time to reduce the problem itself ok so what we want is $E = 0$ to be globally asymptotically stable ok so you see that the dynamics of E and the dynamics of q are the same just because we have a constant reference nothing magical I didn't do anything yeah you are right if there is an actual reference then we will have to do gravity will cancel ok we have to do all those funny things but we are not going there now what are we claiming we are claiming that this kind of a control will give us feedback passivation what is feedback passivation means that after I plug in the control and with some output I will get passivity so my claim is that this control gives me feedback passivation it's obvious that the first term is just to cancel this guy so let's not even worry about it it is going to if I plug in you will just going to cancel this guy yeah then there is a nice negative term in the error we love these terms right always it's a proportional control if you may and then I have some new control that I will figure out later on yeah but my aim is to get passivity in this case ok I just want to get passivity let's plug in and see what happens yeah if I plug in this is what I get gravity cancels out and the $k_p e$ went from here to here so I just have this system ok now I consider this storage function v as this guy ok this is a very standard construction yeah it is just taking notice by the way I have not written this in state space form all of you should always be watching these things I didn't write this in state space form I am directly working with the double second order dynamics technically it will be nicer if you wrote this in state space form and so on but because it is pre-multiplied by an m it's a little bit painful but you can always do that you can just write this as $e_1 \dot{}$ is e_2 and $m \ddot{e_1}$ is whatever ok this would be the state space form yeah where e_1 is equal to e and e_2 is equal to \dot{e} ok if I choose that I would get a state space form let's not worry though pretty easy alright great now I am saying that this is a very standard construction for a Lyapunov candidate for the robotic system very standard construction if you get a robotic system try this Lyapunov function Lyapunov candidate ok great why is this a nice candidate first of all m is symmetric positive definite ok for all q therefore this is already a nice positive term k_p was chosen to be positive definite obviously I mean I don't know if I wrote this here really hope disappointing k_p in the general case yeah this is positive definite matrix symmetric matrix

ok therefore this is also a positive choice nice choice so this is actually a quadratic right just a quadratic form yeah and both are positive definite matrices so it is almost like x_1^2 square plus x_2^2 square yeah or $k_1 x_1^2$ square plus $k_2 x_2^2$ square where k_1 and k_2 are both positive numbers it is just the matrix vector extension of that standard Lyapunov function yeah and this is you should get used to this sort of a construction if I take the derivatives now of this guy what happens this is just $e^T m q e$ double dot yeah I am using the product rule so e^T dot transpose times $m q$ times e double dot because it is a vector I am just being careful of the transpose and all that I am not allowed to change orders of things and all that mess otherwise it is the same and the second term is e^T dot transpose half e^T dot transpose m dot $q e$ dot fine because there is there is derivative of this also ok you could have done this twice half e^T double dot transpose $m q e$ dot and half e^T dot transpose $m q e$ double dot but it turns out to be the same things right because of scalar transpose of scalar is scalar yeah which I made you right yeah this is a scalar quantity so I can keep taking transpose so this is also scalar quantity ok and then again derivative of this is e^T transpose $k p e$ dot yeah because this is scalar I can keep flipping no problem same thing comes out ok great this I want to be less than equal to v^T transpose y alright this I want to be less than a v^T transpose y ok I need you to do this as you can see it is the exercise now you see I have to plug for e^T double dot here right which is this actually sorry I have to plug for $n q e^T$ double dot here which is this guy yeah brings in the v brings in the control new control v and then there is e^T transpose $k p e$ dot yeah which is actually very nice you will see that it is a nice term so basically this term contains the new control v and now what I want you to do as an exercise is choose a output anything is output y such that this quantity is less than equal to v^T transpose y where v is this new control and then basically you would have achieved feedback passivation not just that I also want you to after you find the output y I also want you to show zero state observability of this system for this $v y$ pair ok so this is a very very nice and obviously a relevant example you already moved into something real yeah and you will also be doing simulations on this ok with some real numbers that I will give you yeah so please look at this carefully you will have enough time it will be the next homework so you will have enough time look at this carefully understand it very well if you have any doubts you ask me but make sure you understand it very well so that you are able to do this exercise yeah I mean if you can crack this theory part ok because once you have this output y and this new input v you know that the control can be just minus $5 i$ right because of the theorem that we have already yeah it's all set so all you have to do is this show that this is less than equal to v^T transpose y for some output artificial output that you choose it doesn't have to be you know real but again check and see what comes out yeah you will see that something very nice comes out on the left hand side yeah the system this the apnof candidate and the system is very nice properties yeah which is why controlling robots is actually I mean designing control control for robots is readily easy yeah so please sort of start on this exercise just get yourself a warm start try to understand you know what's going on with these terms and so on yeah and see how you can use these properties yeah to complete this analysis so once you can compute the left hand side properly that's all you need to do once you compute this left hand side properly and carefully after substituting the e^T double dot you will yourself have some structure like this and then you just have to choose a y because

otherwise it will be difficult right you should have a structure that looks like this here ok
alright ok cool. Thank you. Thank you.